UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,778	05/03/2005	Stephan Simon	10191/3675	7908
26646 KENYON & K	7590 03/05/2007 ENYON LLP	EXAMINER		
ONE BROADWAY			TRAN, DALENA	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		03/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro , cause the application to become ABANDON g date of this communication, even if timely fill	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Do. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the second period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Dalena Tran Dears on the cover sheet with the Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro 1, cause the application to become ABANDON 2) date of this communication, even if timely fill 12/2/2005.	3661 Correspondence address H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a)). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON (a) date of this communication, even if timely fill the cause the application to become ABANDON (a) date of this communication, even if timely fill the cause the application (a) and (b) are the cause the application to become ABANDON (b) and (c) are the cause the application (c) are the cause the	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication.
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DO. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	Y IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTHATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON grade of this communication, even if timely fill the communication.	H(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, DN. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON (a) date of this communication, even if timely file the communication (a) and (b) are the communication (b) are the communication (b) are the communication (b) are the communication (c) are the	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u> This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under E		
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-28</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-28</u> is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S ion is required if the drawing(s) is o	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). Objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applica rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I 5) Notice of Informal	Date

Application/Control Number: 10/533,778

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

1. This application has been examined. Claims 12-28 are pending.

The prior art submitted on 5/3/05 has been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 12, 16-23, and 26-28, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kodaka et al. (US 2001/0018641 A1).

As per claim 12, Kodaka et al. disclose a method for determining an accident risk of a first object with at least one second object, comprising: determining the accident risk as a function of a collision probability and a hazard probability of the at least one second object in a predefined region, and determining the collision probability and the hazard probability as a function of motions of the first and at least one second object (see the abstract; [0010-0013]; [0044-0047]; and [0131-0138]).

As per claims 16-17, Kodaka et al. disclose the motion of the first object is defined by way of at least one current position and its velocity, and the motion of the first object is defined by way of at least one current position and its velocity (see [0064-0070]).

As per claims 18-21, Kodaka et al. disclose the motion of the at least one second object is defined by way of at least one current position (see [0048-0051]).

Application/Control Number: 10/533,778

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 22, Kodaka et al. disclose the motion of the first object is additionally determined by way of at least one of its first longitudinal acceleration, first transverse acceleration, a first rotation angle and a first steering angle (see [0111-0119]).

As per claim 23, Kodaka et al. disclose the motion of the at least one second object is additionally determined by way of its velocity relative to the first object and/or a second longitudinal acceleration and/or a second transverse acceleration and/or a second rotation angle (see [0039-0040]).

As per claim 26, Kodaka et al. disclose at least one of an indication and at least one signal to an actuator suite are generated as a function of the accident risk (see [0086-0088]).

As per claims 27-28, Kodaka et al. disclose a method of using a control unit in a vehicle constituting an object, and a method of using a restraint system in a vehicle constituting an object (see [0089-0094]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 13-15, and 24-25, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Kodaka et al. (US 2001/0018641 A1) in view of Miller et al. (US 2003/0139881 A1).

As per claims 13-15, Kodaka et al. do not disclose object class. However, Miller et al. disclose an object class of the first and at least one second object are taken into

account in determining the collision probability and the hazard probability, wherein the motion and the object class of the at least one second object are determined by way of a sensor suite, and the motion and the object class of the first object are retrieved from at least one data source (see [0032-0035]). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Kodaka et al. by combining object class for determining appropriately object size or type therefore to provide a proper collision avoidance.

As per claims 24-25, Miller et al. disclose environmental influences and/or a respective driving behavior are taken into account in determining the respective motion (see [0028-0031]).

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:
 - . Russell et al. (6675094)
 - . Stopczynski (6721659)
 - . Rao et al. (6819991)
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM-4:00 PM), off every other Friday.

Art Unit: 3661

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Patent Examiner

Dalena Tran

March 1, 2007